## Message

From: Latigue, Angela [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=F56888EAB77C4EB6A03166A155F31FDF-ADAVIS07]

**Sent**: 7/12/2018 1:01:31 PM

To: Lakin, Matt [Lakin.Matthew@epa.gov]; Israels, Ken [Israels.Ken@epa.gov]

CC: Valentine, Stephanie [Valentine.Stephanie@epa.gov]; Salazar, Matt [Salazar.Matt@epa.gov]; Jones, Joel E.

[Jones.Joel@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: component of CARB's 105 grant

Hi Matt,

Stationary source training is not specifically identified in ARB's CAA 105 work plan. It is possible the training is funded out of the ARB portion of grant funding as they routinely overmatch their required 40% cost share for this grant.

Thanks!

- Angie

Angela Latigue U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Grants & Program Integration Office 75 Hawthorne Street, AIR-8 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 947-4170

From: Lakin, Matt

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 11, 2018 11:59 AM **To:** Israels, Ken < Israels.Ken@epa.gov>

Cc: Latigue, Angela <Latigue.Angela@epa.gov>; Valentine, Stephanie <Valentine.Stephanie@epa.gov>; Salazar, Matt

<Salazar.Matt@epa.gov>; Jones, Joel E. <Jones.Joel@epa.gov>

Subject: component of CARB's 105 grant

Ken,

I've heard a little from the Districts concerns about ARB's plans to eliminate their stationary source training program. My understanding is that this program primarily supports Districts' efforts to do air enforcement, things like checking visual emissions from sources like concrete plants, boilers, etc. One obvious question is whether this training program is explicitly in their 105 grant workplan. Beyond that, I'd also like to follow-up with Air Enforcement to see if we are ok with the elimination of this training program. Can someone in your office answer the question about the workplan?

Thanks, Matt

Matthew Lakin, Ph.D.

Acting Deputy Director, Air Division

US EPA, Region 9 (AIR-1) | 75 Hawthorne St. | San Francisco, CA 94105

P: 415.972.3851 | E: Lakin.Matthew@epa.gov